

SENATOR BARNETT: That's fine. Thank you.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Is there any objection to handling it... to the unanimous consent request? If not, so ordered. We'll go then to LB 323. Motion by Senator DeCamp.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp moves to reconsider our action on LB 323 on passage on Final Reading.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, there is a whole bunch of people excused. So this is a long shot, but I think it's a good bill. LB 323 was the constitutional amendment proposal that would have allowed certain exemptions for solar heating, this type of thing. Now there are some new houses and experimental housing going in today. I think you're going to see a lot more of it in the future where you put a \$5, or \$7, or \$10 thousand heating system in. You're going to discourage utilizing this kind of thing unless we get some proposal like this. Additionally, when you put this seven or ten thousand dollar investment in a solar heating system you can't say right now, with the technology the way it is in experimental stage, that you really added a seven or ten thousand dollar value to the place. I think the bill has merit. I have another reason or motive for wanting to reconsider it and get the bill alive, that is an amendment I'm going to offer, if we get it up there, and that amendment would simply deal with the gasohol concept or grain alcohol concepts so that these experimental plants, that we hope will get built here, would be in this same category. You're talking anywhere from one to 25 million in one of these. This could be a significant factor in whether we get some of them built or not. I would urge you to vote green, affirmative, to reconsider the bill. It is Senator Mills bill. I think it's got a lot of merit. We had a lot of people absent when it actually failed the other day. It does take 30 votes to reconsider. It does take 30 votes to reconsider. So even some of you, who may have voted against it, I think maybe you ought to reconsider, vote green to reconsider so that we can at least take up the issue and have one more shot at voting it up or down. If you wanted to vote against it then on Final, that would be one thing. Those that do support it would be here. It could probably carry of its own merit and weight. Since we are short on votes, I wish you would give Senator Mills the benefit of a doubt maybe on a reconsideration here today.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator DeCamp, the Chair might comment. As I would understand it, you announced that it would take 30 votes to reconsider and 30 votes to pass, both ways. Is that right? Just so it's clearly understood what is needed here this afternoon. Senator Mills.

SENATOR MILLS: Mr. President, I'd like to have your attention, Mr. President and that of the Clerk, too.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Yes sir.

SENATOR MILLS: Mr. President, when LB 323 was the first time on Final Reading, over a week ago, I should have appealed the ruling of the Chair at that time. The bill did not receive 40 votes to put it on Final Reading. In the three years previous since I've been here, Mr. President, if a bill did not receive the 40 votes that it needed to be put on the spring